

A large simple placebo controlled trial, among adults with head injury and impaired consciousness, of the effects of a 48 hour infusion of corticosteroids on death and neurological disability

Worldwide, millions of people are treated each year for severe head injury. A substantial proportion die, and many more are permanently disabled. If short term corticosteroid infusion could be reliably shown to reduce these risks by just a few percent #w this might affect the treatment of a few hundred thousand patients a year, protecting thousands from death or long term disability.

When all previous trials of steroids in head injury are combined, the risk of death in the corticosteroid treated group appears to be about 2% lower than in the control group, but the 95% confidence interval runs from 6% lower to 2% higher mortality. Thus, the overall result is compatible with there being no benefit, but is also easily compatible with a benefit of a few percent. The CRASH trial will determine reliably the effects on death and on disability of a short term corticosteroid infusion following significant head injury.

To detect or refute improvements of only a few percent in outcome, many thousands of acute head injury patients must be randomised between control and steroid infusions. Such large numbers YAII be possible only if hundreds of doctors and nurses can collaborate in the participating emergency departments. Since they are busy, and working in emergency situations, the trial involves them in almost no extra work: no special investigations or changes to usual illare required, and data collection is absolutely minimal. Patients participating in this trial are not precluded from enrolment in other trials. The trial design is summarised on the back cover.

CRASH will determine reliably the effects of corticosteroids on death and on disability following significant head injury

PROTOCOL

February 1999

CONTENTS

1. Background Corticosteroids in head injury	Page 3
Conticosteroids in spinal injury	4
2 Study design	4
Summary	4
Number of patients needed	4
Eligibility	5
Consent	6
Randomisation	7
Study treatment	7
Unexpected adverse events	7
Unblinding	
Measures of outcome	
Analysis	
3. Organisation	
Steering Committee	9
Data Monitoring Committee	9
Collaborators! responsibilities	9
Co-ordinating Centre responsibilities	9
Publication	10
Indemnity	16
	40
4. References	10
Appendix I Patient & relative information she	eet
Appendix 2 Data collection forms	
Study summary Back page	

1. Background

Corticosteroids in head injury

Worldwide, some millions of people are treated each year for serious head injury, of whom close to a million die, and a similar number are disabled,' often with profound effects on the subsequent quality of life of the affected individuals and their carers². If a treatment as simple as short term corticosteroids produces just a moderate benefit, this could be worthwhile. For example, if corticosteroids reduced the risk of death by just 2% (e.g. from 15% to 13%), and reduced the risk of permanent disability by a similar amount, then treatment of 500,000 patients would avoid 10,000 deaths and prevent 10,000 permanent disabilities. But, such a benefit would be impossible to demonstrate reliably without large scale randomised evidence. If, for example, 10,000 patients were randomly allocated to receive a corticosteroid infusion and 10,000 a placebo infusion, then a reduction from 15% to 13% dead should be detectable - and a reduction from 15% to 12% would certainly be detectable. By contrast, a trial involving only 2,000 patients would probably miss such differences.

So far, all of the randomised trials of corticosteroids in head injury have been small: the largest included only a few hundred patients, and even in aggregate they have involved only about 2,000 patients (Figure 1).³ When all previous trials are combined, the risk of death in the corticosteroid treated group appears to be about 2% lower than in the control group, but the 95% confidence interval runs from 6% lower to 2% higher mortality. (This overall reduction from 39% dead to 37% dead correspond to an 'odds ratio' of 0.91, with 95% confidence interval 0.74 to 1.12; the corresponding odds ratio for death or disability in those trials is 0.90, with 95% confidence interval 0.72 to 1. 11.) Hence, the overall result from to previous trials is compatible with there being no **real bereft** but it is also easily compatible with a benefit of a few percent. However, the existing trials are too small to demonstrate or to refute either possibility.

Figure 1. Aggregate mortality results from 13 randomised trials of steroids in head injury published before 1997

	Steroid	Control
No. of patients	1,061	1,087
No. who died	396 (37%)	422 (39%)

Absolute benefit of steroids 2%, indicating 1 death prevented for every 50 patients treated: but these previous trial results are also statistically compatible with there being no real benefit at all (or even a small hazard).

Corticosteroids in spinal injury

Recent evidence of benefit from corticosteroids in acute spinal cord injury has renewed interest in their possible role in brain injury. The Second US National Acute Spinal Cord Irqury Study (NASCIS 2) compared 24 hours of methylprednisolone (MP) vs placebo in 333 patients with acute spinal cord injury.⁴ At six months, patients who had received steroids rather than placebo appeared to have greater improvement in motor function, and in sensation to pinprick and touch. Similar results were reported in a Japanese trial of the same regimen.⁵ Recent trials of MP in acute spinal cord injury have indicated slightly more neurological recovery with 48 than with 24 hours of treatment.⁶

Dose selection

Post-traumatic neuronal degeneration can involve lipid peroxidation,⁷ and in cats ^{8,9} and mice¹⁰ this can be inhibited by methylprednisolons,¹¹ with 30 mg/kg needed for maximal effect. The dose of steroid used in previous head injury trials was, however, much lower than thiS,³ and so a trial of the early administration of methylprednisolone in doses that are high enough to inhibit lipid peroxidation may produce slightly greater treatment effects than those in Figure 1. The CRASH trial has therefore been designed to determine reliably:

- ☆ the effects of high dose corticosteroid infusion on death and on disability following significant head injury, and
- ☆ the effects of such infusion on the risk of infection and of gastro-intestinal bleeding in this setting.

2. Study design.

Summary

CRASH is a large simple, placebo-controlled trial of the effects of a 48-hour infusion of corticosteroids on death and on neurological disability, among adults with head injury and some impairment of consciousness. The procedures are described in Figure 2, and on the back page of the protocol. Head injured patients with impaired consciousness who are judged to be 16 years or older are eligible if the responsible doctor is, for any reason, substantially uncertain whether or not to use corticosteroids. Numbered drug or placebo packs will be available in each participating Emergency Department. Randomisation involves calling a 24 hour free phone service. The call should last only a minute or two, and at the end of it the service will specify to the caller Which numbered treatment pack to use. The drug or placebo in the pack is made up in saline and, following a one-hour loading dose, is infused over 48 hours (or as close to 48 hours as possible). No extra tests are required, but a short form must be completed 2 weeks later (or after prior death or discharge).

Number of patients needed

Two main factors determine the number of patients needed in a trial. These are the estimated event rate, and the size of the treatment effect.

Estimated event rate: In a recent multi-centre randomised trial in head injury using inclusion criteria similar to those in the CRASH trial, the overall risk of death among controls was 15%, with the risk of unfavourable outcome (dead, unfit for work or needing rehabilitation) being 43%.¹² This trial is one of the most recent randomised trials of corticosteroids in head injury and it would be reasonable to expect a similar risk of unfavourable outcome in the CRASH trial.

Size of treatment effect that should be detectable: Because even a 2% survival advantage for an intervention as simple and widely practicable as corticosteroids would represent a worthwhile benefit the current trial has been planned to be able to detect a benefit of this size.

Numbers needed. If the real mortality difference is 15% vs 13% then there is about a 65% chance that a trial involving 10,000 patients will achieve 2P<0.01, and a 95% chance that a trial involving 20,000 patients will do so. These calculations assess how well the trial is protected against an unfavourable play of chance. If however, as might well be the case, the actual results are not much distorted by the play of chance and involve 15% vs 13% mortality then a trial of 10,000 would yield 2P=0.004, and a trial of 20,000 would yield 2P=0.0004 (which is extreme enough to allow some exploratory sub-analyses of which types of patient seem most likely to benefit).

Eligibility

• Head injured patients (judged to be 16 years or older) within 8 hours of injury who are not fully conscious (any abnormality on the Glasgow Coma Scale), except those for whom corticosteroids are thought to be clearly indicated or contra-indicated.

All head injured patients who - in the absence of sedation - are observed whilst in hospital to have GCS of 14 or less, and are within 8 hours of the injury, are eligible for trial entry if they appear to be at least 16 years old. Although entry is allowed up to 8 hours from injury, the earlier that patients can be treated the better.

There are no other pro-specified exclusion criteria, as the fundamental eligibility criterion is the responsible doctor's "uncertainly" whether or not to use corticosteroids; in a particular adult with head injury.¹³ Patients for whom there is considered by the responsible doctor to be a dear indication for corticosteroids (such as, perhaps, those who also have an acute spinal cord injury) should **not** be randomised. Likewise, any for w4iom there is considered to be a clear contraindication to corticosteroids; should **not** be randomised. But, all those for whom the responsible doctor is substantially uncertain as to whether or not to give corticosteroids are eligible for randomisation, and as many such patients as possible should be considered for the trial.

Heterogeneity of the types of patients entering such a trial is a scientific strength, not a weakness. If a wide range of patients are randomised then it may be possible for a really big trial such as this one to help determine which (if any) particular types of patient are most likely to benefit from treatment.

Figure 2. Eligibility



Special eligibility considerations: None. Routine exclusion of patients with gastrointestinal complaints or pregnancy is unnecessary, unless the responsible doctor considers these to be a definite contraindication.

Notes:-

(1) This short term corticosteroid regimen should not cause serious gastrointestinal bleeding, nor should it cause a large increase in infection.

(2) Although prolonged use of corticosteroids in pregnancy may affect fetal adrenocortical development, this short term treatment should not do so.

Consent

Patients with head injury and impaired consciousness may be unable to give properly informed consent, and in this emergency situation it may not be medically appropriate to delay the start of treatment until proxy consent can be obtained. Hence, the doctor in charge should take responsibility for entering such patients, just as they would take responsibility for choosing other treatments. However, the requirements of the relevant ethics committee should be adhered to at all times. An information leaflet on the study for patients and their friends and relatives will be available in all drug packs (Appendix 1).

Randomisation

Patients eligible for inclusion should be randomised, and the study treatment started, as soon as possible. Randomisation is done by telephoning a 24-hour toll-free service and takes only about two minutes. The patient entry form (Appendix 2) shows the questions that will be asked by the telephone operator prior to allocation of the treatment packs. The study computer will then randomly assign a treatment pack number that will identify one of the CRASH treatment packs stored in the emergency department. Once a patient has been randomised, we will definitely wish to learn the outcome in hospital, even if the trial treatment gets interrupted or is not actually given.

Study treatment

Each CRASH treatment pack contains:

- * 11 x 2g vials of methylprednisolone (MP) or placebo
- * 1 x 20mL sterile water for injection (for use with the loading dose)
- * 1 x 100mL bag of 0.9% NaCl (for use with the loading dose)
- * CRASH stickers (for attaching to infusion bags and patient notes)
- * Patient information leaflet and early outcome forms

Treatment	Vials	Dose (MP or placebo)
Loading	1	2g over 1 hour
Day 1	5	0.4 g/hour for -24 hours
Day 2	5	0.4 g/hour for -24 hours

Loading

2q MP (or matching placebo) over 1 hour in 100 mL infusion..

- 1. Add 20 mL water for injection to one 2g vial and mix well
- 2. Add contents of vial to the 100mL bag of 0.9% NaCi provided
- 3. Infuse over one hour

Daily Maintenance

<u>0.4q/hour for about 24 hours in 20 mUhour infusion (MP or matching placebo):</u> 1. Remove 100mL from a 500 mL bag of 0.9% NaCl (to make room for the steroid) 2. Add 20 mL water for injection to each of five 2g vials and mix well 3. Add all five (about 100mQ to the 500 mL bag of 0.9% NaCl 4. Infuse at 20 mL/hour for about 24 hours 5. Repeat for maintenance day 2

N.B. As children under 16 are excluded, a simple fixed-dose treatment can be used. The dosing regimen is that used in the NASCIS-2 and NASCIS-3 trials of MP in acute spinal cord injury.

Unexpected adverse events

Anaphylactic reactions to intravenous corticosteroids are extremely rare, but should be treated in whatever way the responsible doctor prefers (one possibility being intra-muscular adrenaline 0.5mg, i.e. 0.5 mL of 1 in 1,000 (1mg/mL) solution).¹⁴ It would be expected that 24 hour anaesthetic cover would

be available in all hospitals participating in CRASH. If a serious and unexpected adverse drug reaction occurs and is suspected to be related to the study medicine, this should be logged by calling the 24 hour randomisation service, who will inform the CRASH co-ordinating centre in London.

In general, gastro-intestinal bleeds and infections do not need to be reported in this way because some increase in their incidence might be expected with steroids. Likewise, the various medical events that are to be expected in head injured patients do not need to be reported by telephone. All such events are, however, routinely monitored among all patients on the outcome form.

'Unblinding' the allocated treatment in an emergency

In general there should be no need to unblind the allocated treatment. If some contra-indication to corticosteroids develops after randomisation (e.g. severe gastro-intestinal bleeding), the trial treatment should simply be stopped. Unblinding was never found to be necessary in the NASCIS trial of IVIP in spinal cord injury,⁴ and should be done only in those rare cases when the doctor believes that clinical management depends importantly upon knowledge of whether the patient received corticosteroid or placebo (e.g. suspected anaphylaxis). In those few cases when urgent unblinding is considered necessary, the randomisation service should be telephoned, giving the name of the doctor authorising unblinding and the CRASH treatment pack number (if available), and the caller will then be told whether the patient received corticosteroid or placebo.

Measures of outcome

The primary outcome measures are:

- * Death from any cause within two weeks of injury
- * Death or dependence at six months

In-hospital deaths, complications and short-term recovery are to be recorded on the early outcome form which can be completed entirely from the hospital notes - no extra tests are needed.

Long term recovery will be assessed at six months either by a simple postal questionnaire, sent directly to each trial participant from the CRASH coordinating centre, or by telephone interview. This does not involve any additional work for collaborating hospitals.

Analysis

Comparisons will be made of the primary outcome measures, comparing all those allocated methylprednisolone versus all those allocated placebo, on an 'intention to treat' basis. Analyses will be stratified on time from injury to the initiation of treatment, and on severity of head injury as assessed by the Glasgow Coma Scale. Comparisons will also be made of the risks of infection and gastrointestinal bleeding.

3. Organisation

Steering Committee

Dr Colin Baigent	Professor Richard Peto
Professor Michael Bracken	Dr Ian Roberts (co-ordinator)
Professor David Chadwick (Chair)	Dr Peter Sandercock
Ms Barbara Farrell	Professor Graham Teasdale
Ms Gabby Lomas	Professor David Yates

Data Monitoring Committee

Professor Rory Collins	Professor Stephen MacMahon (Chair)
Professor Stephen Haines	Professor Charles Warlow

The independent Data Monitoring Committee will conduct interim analyses of mortality and morbidity among all trial participants. It will advise the Steering Group if the randomised comparisons in the trial provide both (i) proof beyond reasonable doubt of a difference in outcome between the study and control groups, and (ii) evidence that would be expected to alter substantially the choice of treatment for patients whose doctors are, in the light of the evidence from other randomised trials, substantially uncertain whether to give corticosteroids to patients with head injury.¹⁵

Collaborators' responsibilities

Co-ordination within each participating hospital will be through a local collaborator who will:

- Discuss the trial with medical, neurosurgical and nursing staff who see trauma patients and ensure that they remain aware of the trial and its procedures (there are wall charts, pocket summaries and a set of slides to assist with this)
- Ensure that adults with acute head injuries are considered promptly for the trial

Ensure that the single sided early outcome forms are completed

Co-ordinating Centre responsibilities

- Provide study materials and a 24 hour randomisation (and unblinding) service
- Give collaborators regular information about the progress of the study
- Help ensure complete data collection at discharge and at 6 months
- Respond to any questions (e.g. from collaborators) about the trial

Publication

The success of CRASH will be entirely dependent upon the collaboration of nurses and doctors in the participating hospitals. Hence, the chief credit for the study will be assigned to them in the main publications, and the collaborators from each participating Centre Will be named personally in the main report.

Indemnity

The CRASH trial is sponsored by the Medical Research Council (MRC) and not the manufacturers of methylprednisolone. The MRC fully accepts responsibility attached to its sponsorship of the trial, and as such, would give sympathetic consideration t6 claims for any non-negligent harm suffered by anyone as a result of participating in this trial.

Financial support

Medical Research Council funding covers meetings and central organisational costs only. Pharmacia & Upjohn are donating drug and placebo, but the design, management and finance of the study are entirely independent of them. Methylprednisolone is not a new product. Really large trials of such drugs, involving many hospitals, are important for future patients but are practicable only if those collaborating in them do so Without payment (except for recompense of any minor local costs that may arise).

4. References

1. Jennett B, Teasdale G. Management of head injuries. Philadelphia: FA Davies, 1981.

2. Jennett B, Bond M. Assessment of outcome after severe brain damage. Lancet 1975; 1: 480-4.

3. Alderson P, Roberts 1. Corticosteroids in acute traumatic brain injury: systematic review of randomised controlled trials. BMJ 1997;314:1855-9.

4. Bracken MB, Shepard MJ, Collins WF, et al. A randomised controlled trial of methylprednisolone or naloxone in the treatment of acute spinal cord injury. N Eng J Med 1990;322:1405-11.

5. Otani K Abe H, Kadoya S, et al. Beneficial effect of methylprednisollone sodium succinate in the treatment of acute spinal cord injury (translation of Japanese). Sekitsui Sekizui J 1994;7:633-47.

6. Bracken MB, Shepard MJ, Holford TR, et al. Administration of methylprednisolone for 24 or 48 hours

or tirilazad mesylate for 48 hours in the treatment of acute spinal cord injury. Results of the Third

National Acute Spinal Cord Injury Randomized Controlled Trial. National Acute Spinal Cord Injury Study.

JAMA 1997;277:1597-604

7. Demopoulos HB, Flamm ES, Seligman ML. Further studies on free radical pathology in the major central nervous system disorders: effects of very high doses of methylprednisolone on the functional outcome, morphology, and chemistry of experimental spinal cord impact injury. Can J Physiol Pharmacol 1982;60:1415-24.

8. Anderson DK Saunders RD, Demediuk P. Lipid hydrolysis and peroxidation in injured spinal cord: partial protection with methylprednisolone or vitamin E and selenium. Central Nervous System Trauma 1985;2:257-67.

9. Braughler JM, Hall ED, Means ED, et al. Evaluation of an intensive methylprednisolone sodium succinate dosing regimen in experimental spinal cord injury. J Neurosurg 1987; 67: 102-5.

10. Hall ED. High dose glucocorticoid treatment improves neurological recovery in head-injured mice. J Neurosurg 1985;62:882-7.

11. Hall ED. The neuroprotective pharmacology of methylprednisolone. J. Neurosurg 1992;76-.13-22.

12. Gaab MR, Trost HA, Alcantara A, et al. Ultrahigh dexamethasone in acute brain injury. Results from a prospective randomised double-blind multi-centre trial. Zentralblatt fOr Neurochirurgie 1994;55:135-43.

13. Collins R, Doll R, Peto R. Ethics of clinical trials. In: Williams C, ed. Introducing New Treatments for Cancer. Chichester: John Wiley and Sons Ltd, 1991.

14. Ewan PW. Treatment of anaphylactic reactions. Prescribers' Journal 1997;37:125-32.

15. Peto R, Pike MC, Armitage P, et al. Design and analysis of randomised clinical trials requiring

prolonged observation of each patient. 1. Introduction and design. Br J Cancer 1976;34:585-612.



A Research Council

For further information about the international study of steroids after head injury, contact MRC Trial Coordinating Centre 30 Guilford Street, London WC1N 1EH Tel: 0171-242-2092

This hospital is taking part in an international study to try to find ways to improve recovery after head injury.

In this hospital, patients with head injury are given the usual emergency treatment for head injury. They are also given, by a drip into the arm, a treatment as part of a study that is trying to find ways to improve recovery after head injury.

The treatment in the drip is saline with either an active steroid (called *methylprednisolone*) or an inactive, dummy medicine included in it. The choice of what to give was made randomly by a computer in Oxford. The doctors looking after you do not know whether you got the active or the inactive medicine. This information is kept on a confidential list at another hospital.

All patients in the study, whether or not they got steroids, get the best care available.

The steroid may help recovery by slightly reducing the brain swelling that can occur after head injury. But steroids may make people slightly more prone to infection. We hope to find that steroids do a little more good than harm, but we don't yet know this. The study is being carried out in hospitals in Britain as well as overseas, and will include many hundreds of patients with head injury.

The study involves no extra tests, but we send brief details about how you have been in hospital to the trial centre in London, and about six months after your injury, we will contact you to ask how you are getting on. This information would be used in strict confidence by the people working on the study and would not be released under any circunstances.

If you have any questions about your care, please ask your doctor.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- 48 all-		
CRASHE PATIENT ENTRY QUESTIONS THAT WILL BE ASKED			
WHE	N YOU CALL THE RANDOMISAT	TION SERVICE	
[1] Country			
[2] Name of hospital where patient entered	or give your hospital code		
[3] Name of caller			
[4] Patient sex	Male Fem	nale	
[5] Do you know patient's na	me? Yes No	— if No, go to [8]	
[6] Family name:	[7] Given name	e(s):	
[8] Patient Hospital Identifica	tion Number (if name unknown):		
[9] Do you know patient's da [10] Date of birth: /	te of birth? Yes No	— if No, go to [11] 1] Approximate age:	
[12] Estimated number of ho	urs since injury:		
Current Glasgow Coma Sca	ale: three questions will be asked —	one or more replies must indicate an	
[13] Eve opening:			
Spontaneous 4	Obeys commands 6	Orientated 5	
To sound 3	Localising 5	Confused speech 4	
To pain 2	Normal flexion 4	Words 3	
None 1	Abnormal flexion 3	Sounds 2	
Ciencina di	Extending 2	None 1	
	None 1		
[16] This GCS is:	1 Current 2 Most rec	ent	
Pupil reactiveness			
[17] Left	1 Yes 2 No	3 Unable to assess	
[18] Right	1 Yes 2 No	3 Unable to assess	
Now call 20800 585 323 with these answers and write down the treatment pack no. given at the end of the phone call			
Treatment Pack: Box:			
Get this pa	ack and follow the instructi	ions on it carefully	
This form need not be kept as source documentation			

Lost or damaged treatment pack

- 1. 20800 585 323
- 2. Ask for "Lost or damaged treatment pack"
- 3. Give answers to questions 1 11 overleaf

Reporting adverse events

- 1. 20800 585 323
- 2. Ask for "Adverse events"
- 3. Give answers to questions 1 11 overleaf
- 4. Give name of person who has reported the adverse event:

5. Give telephone number of person who has reported the adverse event:

• Unblinding

In general there should be no need to unblind the allocated treatment. Unblinding should only be done in those rare cases when management depends importantly upon knowledge of whether the patient received corticosteroid or placebo.

- 1. 20800 585 323
- 2. Ask for "Unblinding"
- 3. Give answers to questions 1 11 overleaf

CRASSE EARLY OUTCOME FORM Complete at discharge, death in hospital, or 14 days after injury whichever occurs first					
1. Hospital or trial hos	name pital code no.				
2. Patient	details or attach a label w	ith these details	(for 6-month fo	llow-up)	
Family name			Given name		
	Patient identification number	er (if available)			
Sex	MF	Date of Birth	1	1	(daylmonthlyear)
Address					
	1. 24 1				
Postcode		Tel 🖀			
3. Cause o	f injury 🔲 Road traffic	accident	Fall > 2 metres	s Other	
3. Cause of injury Road traffic accident Fail > 2 metres Other 4. Outcome (tick one box and give date) Discharged to rehabilitation centre or nursing home Discharged home Still in this hospital now. Date of death/ / / metres Discharged home Still in this hospital now. Date of death/ / / metres Discharge home Still in this hospital now. Tick the one box that best describes the patient's head injury-related symptoms now (i.e. at 14 days or prior discharge): No symptoms Some restriction in lifestyle. Dependent, but not requiring Dead tequiring constant attention attention ad and night 5. Management and complications 6. Head CT scan Head CT scan Head CT scan Ne symptom Seizure Normal scan; no evidence of swelling or raised intracranial pressure Normal scan; no evidence of swelling or raised intracranial pressure Normal scan; no evidence of swelling or raised intracranial pressure Wound infection with pus Pneumonia treated with antibiotics Midine shit >5mm No evacuated haematoma Other retarement with antibiotics Midline shit >5mm No revacuated haematoma Subarachnoid bleed					
7. Trial treatment Loading dose: Yes No Hours of maintenance dose: hours (1-48)					
8. Reliable	contact (back-up for 6-m	onth follow-up)	9. GP		
Name			Name		
Address			Address		
Tel 🕿			Tel 🖀		
10. Person	10. Person completing form (please print):				
Name		Position	1		Date / /

Post to: CRASH Co-ordinating Centre, FREEPOST, LON14211, London WC1N 1BR or Fax:+44 (0) 171-242-2723

HEAD INJURY with impaired consciousness?

consider for the CRAS



ALL adults with head injury in past 8 hours Eligibility and some Glasgow Coma Scale abnormality No clear indication for, or contraindication to steroids, in view of clinician Freephone 0800 585 323 and give: Randomisation Patient name and sex Birth date (if known) or approximate age Hours since injury Glasgow Coma Scale: eye opening, motor response, verbal response Pupil reactiveness (Yes/No) CRASH pack number will be allocated: get treatment pack and follow instructions on it 1-hour loading infusion of 100mL 48-hour infusion (2g steroid or placebo in saline) 48-hour infusion of 20mL/hr: (0.4g/hour steroid or placebo for about 48 hours)

No extra tests: One single-sided outcome form, completed from hospital notes (at discharge, death in hospital, or two weeks from injury, whichever occurs first).

FOR 24-HOUR RANDOMISATION **26-HOUR RANDOMISATION**

Enquiries and study materials: CRASH Co-ordinating Centre, FREEPOST LON14211, LONDON WC1N 1BR Tel: +44(0)171 242 2092 Fax: +44(0)171 242 2723 e-mail: CRASH@ich.ucl.ac.uk www.crash.ucl.ac.uk